

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/522,702	03/10/2000	Jiandong Huang	H16-26157	5911	
128 7	7590 05/17/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.			NGUYEN, CHAU T		
101 COLUMB	IA ROAD				
P O BOX 2245	5		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			2176		

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/522,702	HUANG ET AL.	
navisory notion	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chau Nguyen	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a Ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire land ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context of the contex	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropertion of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 2. ☐ The proposed amondment(a) will not be entered by	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furthe		see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	,		
(c) \(\sum \) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	enewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		ınd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	1	
10. Other:	(Lef 11	
BEST AVAILABLE	ECOPY SUPERVISOR	SEPH FEILD RY PATENT EXAMI	NER

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues include several amendments to claims 1-4,10-11,13-14,19-20 and 22-23 that would necessitate further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: new issues raised in claims 1-4,10-11,13-14,19-2 and 22-23 would require further search and consideration. Also, examiner's maintained the final rejection over Law, Jr. et al. (Law), Paten No. 6,370,654 and further in view of Tosey et al. (Tosey), Patent NO. 6,392,990.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY